Appointments, Reappointments, Promotions, and Tenure Process Guidelines

1. In the BSD, the definitive statement of criteria is "Pathways for successful faculty development and promotion" (May 2011), [http://tiny.cc/BSDPathways](http://tiny.cc/BSDPathways).

2. Each department should distribute to its faculty its policy regarding which BSD faculty tracks and ranks may attend faculty meetings and vote on departmental recommendations in addition to any departmental processes to be followed, e.g., whether a research seminar or an ad hoc committee is required in particular cases. This policy should be distributed annually to all faculty and individually to faculty candidates for reappointment, promotion, or tenure. Departments have reasonable discretion in setting these policies, except for the following:

   1) in tenure recommendations the votes of the tenured faculty must be reported separately from those of other eligible voters.

   2) At least four tenured faculty will vote on new appointments, reappointments, promotions, and/or tenure in the BSD track. To achieve this number, the department may include tenured faculty from other departments or qualified untenured faculty who are approved in advance via the Office of Academic Affairs.

If a department needs to make an exception to its policies and/or these guidelines, it should first notify the Office of Academic Affairs, which will seek advice as to whether the intended exception poses any issues. Additionally, appointive actions should be guided by the following resolution endorsed by the department chairs of the BSD in summer 2013:

The Shils Report states: "All academic appointments to University faculties must be treated with great seriousness. They should, wherever it is at all possible, be made on the basis of careful study by members of the appointive body... All appointments...must be conducted with the same thorough deliberation, the same careful study of relevant documentation and other evidence, and the same process of consultation."

The BSD concurs with this statement. In the BSD, the deliberations preceding votes on appointments, reappointments, promotions, or tenure should be among the most robust and open discussions that the faculty have. Chairs and Section Chiefs can facilitate our objectives by:

   a) Making all relevant documentation and evidence available to the faculty eligible to vote.
   b) Providing ample notice of discussions so that faculty can arrange their schedules to participate or provide written/electronic assessments for consideration in the discussion.
   c) Providing sufficient time for a complete discussion.
   d) Ensuring that all candidates receive full discussion whether the expected outcome is positive or negative.

In turn, frank and robust discussions rely upon the faculty's observance of strict confidentiality. Nonetheless, candidates for appointive actions should be fully apprised well in advance of relevant deadlines for submission of materials and decision announcements, the process of decision-making, and the criteria to be applied.

When any exceptions to these guidelines are necessary, they should be disclosed in advance to the BSD Office of Academic Affairs, which will arrange for their review, or to the Dean.

---

1 This document is designed to aid in outlining processes that will avoid unnecessary complications with faculty appointments in the BSD. Our intention is to provide guidelines, meaning that significant room is left for reasonable expert judgment. Departures from these guidelines should be communicated in advance to the Office of Academic Affairs, which will arrange for their review.

2 The Office of Academic Affairs will act as a clearinghouse to route communications to their proper recipient.

[oa@bsd.uchicago.edu](mailto:oa@bsd.uchicago.edu); 773 702-6504; [https://biologicalsciences.uchicago.edu/resources/oaa-academic-affairs-landing](https://biologicalsciences.uchicago.edu/resources/oaa-academic-affairs-landing)
3. The primary responsibility for applying the criteria and evaluating a candidate's performance in relation to them lies with the departmental faculty eligible to vote.

4. Existing faculty who are candidates for tenure must present a research seminar open to the BSD faculty. The announcement of this seminar must be shared with the Office of Academic Affairs, preferably well in advance of the seminar. It is suggested that the seminar be recorded, and the recording be made available online for viewing by those participating in the tenure review process.

5. Positive recommendations for associate professor, professor, and tenure must include assessments by external consultants. Distinguished faculty who have no collaborative, collegial, or training relationship with the candidate and who are in peer programs at peer institutions will normally require no justification as credible external consultants, and some should normally be represented in any set of letters obtained. External consultants other than these are welcome, but their inclusion should be explained in the Chair's Letter.

6. In soliciting letters as in #5, the faculty eligible to vote (or their delegates) should consider carefully the most appropriate external consultants and aim for no more than the 7 who can best evaluate the candidate. Exceptions to the numerical limit should be justified in the Chair's Letter. Those selected should be provided with the same data (except when confidential) used by the department to arrive at its own assessment. These data are the definitive version and must subsequently be provided to OAA without changes. Addenda or version reflecting changes to these materials may also be submitted. Candidates’ suggestions of potential assessors to be consulted or avoided should be considered (but need not be adopted), and the former should be no more than 30% of the total number.

7. Especially in matters invisible to the outside, e.g., teaching, many clinical procedures such as anesthesia, diagnostic radiology, primary care, and administration, dispassionate assessments of clinical and educational acumen from BSD faculty in the same or other departments may be solicited and will be given considerable weight. These may be shared with external consultants, redacted as necessary.

8. Dates and terms
   New assistant professors: The Contingent Letter of Offer (CLO) for SOM track faculty and the Letter of Offer (LO) for BSD track faculty should propose a start on the first day of a calendar month. A separate appointment case for the Provost is due the earlier of: (a) 5 weeks before the start date or (b) 3 months after the CLO is signed. In the BSD track, the assistant professorship lapses on the last day of the 84th calendar month unless the promotion clock stops.

   New senior faculty: The CLO should anticipate 4 weeks between the start date and review by the Committee on Appointments and Promotions (see requesting COAP dates).

To request exceptions to these deadlines, contact the Office of Academic Affairs before the CLO or LO is released.
### Assistant professor SOM with 4-year term ending on 30 June

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reappointment Type</th>
<th>Cases due in the Office of Academic Affairs</th>
<th>Target date for decision announcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First reappointment</td>
<td></td>
<td>December 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second reappointment when promotion is imminent</td>
<td>~1st week of September, 9 months before end date</td>
<td>December 15 if not superseded by promotion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second reappointment when due to mitigating circumstances by pre-arrangement</td>
<td>~April 1, 15 months before the end date</td>
<td>Before start of final year, e.g., last week of June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other 2nd-nth reappointments</td>
<td>~April 1, 15 months before the end date</td>
<td>Before start of final year, e.g., last week of June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When department does not recommend reappointment</td>
<td>1 May, 13 months before end date, but preferably as soon as known</td>
<td>As soon as review is complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Assistant professor SOM with 4-year term ending on 31 December

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reappointment Type</th>
<th>Cases due in the Office of Academic Affairs</th>
<th>Target date for decision announcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First reappointment</td>
<td></td>
<td>June 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second reappointment when promotion is imminent</td>
<td>~1st week of March, 9 months before end date</td>
<td>June 15 if not superseded by promotion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second reappointment when due to mitigating circumstances by pre-arrangement</td>
<td>~Sept 1, 15 months before the end date</td>
<td>Before start of final year, e.g., last week of December</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other 2nd-nth reappointments</td>
<td>~Sept 1, 15 months before the end date</td>
<td>Before start of final year, e.g., last week of December</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When department does not recommend reappointment</td>
<td>1 November, 13 months before end date, but preferably as soon as known</td>
<td>As soon as review is complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Associate Professor and Professor SOM cases are due 14 months before the appointment end date.

**Assistant professor BSD: two terms, 4 years and 3 years, 7 years total.** Earlier submissions are allowable *with prior permission*. seek permission by contacting the Office of Academic Affairs. This timeline ensures this notice is given 6.5 – 9 months before the end of the term as designated by the University’s statutes.
Associate professor BSD without tenure: one term of 3 years. The start month for promotion to Associate Professor BSD without tenure may occur in July, August, or September. Earlier submissions are allowable with prior permission; seek permission by contacting the Office of Academic Affairs. This timeline ensures that notice is given 12.5 months before the end of the term.

Ordinarily, the last possible COAP date is 2.5 years after the COAP date for initial promotion to Associate Professor.

Here are other classes of start times. If you have questions about these, please contact OAA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases due in the Office of Academic Affairs</th>
<th>BSD committee meets</th>
<th>Target date for decision announcement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>~5-year SOM track senior appointments ending 30 June</td>
<td>~May 1, 14 months before the end date</td>
<td>Ordinarily not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reappointment as CS track associate professor or professor</td>
<td>October 1 preceding the term end</td>
<td>Ordinarily none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOM and CS track promotion decisions not necessitated by the end of a term of appointment; ‘early’ tenure and promotion to Professor in the BSD track; new Associate or Professor appointments; Tenure of SOM and CS faculty</td>
<td>COAP normally meets 1-2X per month except late summer. Reserve COAP slots as soon as you know when a case will be ready according to the instructions at <a href="http://tiny.cc/coapdates">http://tiny.cc/coapdates</a>. All else equal, slots are first come, first served. Properly formatted electronic materials are due noon 3 weeks in advance of the COAP meeting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-standard terms and circumstances</td>
<td>Consult the Office of Academic Affairs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the BSD track, the Advisory Committee on Assistant Professors (ACAP) will review assistant professors approximately one year after the start of their second term. In the SOM track, ACAP will normally review assistant professors who are clinician-scholars early in the fifth year.

9. Once a candidate submits materials for appointment, reappointment, promotion, and/or tenure, nothing may be disclosed to the candidate until the Provost's decision is announced. Any need for earlier disclosure should be discussed in advance with the Office of Academic Affairs, which will seek approval for the proposed disclosure. Proposed new appointees, who may not understand our system, should be explicitly counseled to avoid premature disclosures to anyone based on a CLO or LO.

The one exception: Recommendations to promote to associate professor without tenure in the BSD track requires departments to request special materials from the candidate, and the reason for the request should be disclosed.

10. For untenured faculty members (SOM track, BSD and CS tracks on term appointments), consideration for another term, whether at the same rank or a higher rank, or for tenure should begin with the department informing the candidate in writing (cc: to the Office of Academic Affairs) of the materials that must be submitted and their due date in the department. Standard language for notifying BSD track candidates for promotion to associate professor and/or tenure is at: https://biologicalsciences.uchicago.edu/resources/oaa-standard-notification. Departmental due dates should allow sufficient time for case preparation, faculty deliberation, and votes before a departmental recommendation to reappoint/promote/tenure is due in the Office of Academic Affairs.
At the departmental level, non-reappointment/non-promotion/denial of tenure, resulting in the end of employment as a faculty member, can proceed in three ways:

1) After assessing materials provided by the candidate and any external assessments, the departmental faculty may vote not to recommend another appointment. [A departmental faculty may so act when the candidate fails to provide the requested materials and may vote not to obtain external assessments in weak cases.]

2) The candidate may decide that he/she wishes to avoid the normal assessment and provide a commitment in writing to disaffiliate from the faculty at the end of the appointment (Appendix). In this case no assessment should be undertaken.

3) Before the candidate’s materials are due, the department may also decide to suggest that an untenured faculty member commit to disaffiliate from the faculty as described in (b). The faculty member must be informed that the suggestion may be declined, in which case the normal assessment must ensue.

In each of these three circumstances, the department must immediately inform in writing the Office of Academic Affairs, which will have the process of decision-making reviewed and seek authorization to disclose the decision to the candidate. The notification should briefly explain the rationale for the decision, the process of decision-making, and who was consulted in making it. [There is no necessity for the Chair to consult all departmental faculty in sensitive cases.] The materials used in decision-making (e.g., candidate’s cv, letters if solicited, etc.) should also be provided. The Office of Academic Affairs will also remind the department (as it also will if the Provost denies a positive departmental recommendation):

1) To disclose the decision to the candidate as soon as permission to do so is received.

2) To assist the candidate in transitioning to another position, to communicate counseling/career resources, and offer appropriate counsel.

3) To discuss transition plans for funding, mentorship of trainees, educational and committee obligations, etc.

4) To review end-of-appointment expectations (see #10).

11. Disaffiliation from the University is normal when a faculty appointment lapses without additional appointment.

12. If the judgment of the faculty eligible to vote, Divisional review committees, deans, and provosts is appealed to the provost, the provost will limit review to the integrity of the process and will not interfere with the academic judgment made by the department regarding the merits of the case.

13. All departmental recommendations for appointive actions will undergo review by the Dean for onward transmission to the Provost with the Dean’s positive or negative endorsement. When a BSD review committee tables a departmental recommendation, unless a revised recommendation is first received the committee’s advice will automatically become negative after 3 months or at the Provost’s deadline, whichever comes first.
14. Approved appointments, reappointments, and promotions are subject to review under University Statute 11.4 ("Provisions for Removal or Termination"), and thus may be modified or withdrawn before their effective date.

SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES

1. When a faculty member is appointed in more than one department, normally one is primary, and the others are secondary. The primary department assesses overall academic performance and recommends an action to the Dean and Provost. Secondary BSD departments assess the contribution to the secondary departments and vote on this basis to concur with the recommendation of the primary department; if this does not occur, there is no secondary appointment. Concurrence is also required when the secondary appointment is in a non-BSD department, School, Institute for Molecular Engineering, or in an interdivisional institute. Be advised that the processes, practices, and timelines outside the BSD may be different from those within the BSD, and the implications of such diversity should be understood fully in advance.

2. The Cancer Center and any secondary unit providing resources essential to the proposed appointment should also concur. Degree-granting Committees need not concur in cases involving an existing member, although they may do so. New appointments to degree-granting Committees require a faculty vote.

3. Actions involving basic science departments almost always require the concurrence of The College, as do any clinical department actions involving a College appointment:

   a. New faculty searches: department chair or chair's designate must consult with the BSCD Master's Office after a faculty vote to recommend an offer, and in advance of a second visit if there is one. This allows the BSCD Master to discuss College teaching obligations with the chair, the candidate, or both before a CLO or LO is drafted.
   b. CLOs and LOs: provide the text of the draft 'Education/Teaching' section to the BSCD Master's Office.
   c. Recommendations to the BSD Dean and Provost for appointment, reappointment, promotion, and/or tenure:
      i. Notify the BSCD Master’s Office one month in advance of the faculty discussion/vote on reappointment, promotion, and/or tenure to allow the Master’s assessment to be prepared and considered by the voting faculty
      ii. OAA manages the transmission of the BSCD routing form.

4. Cases for new appointments of Instructors and Assistant Professors require 3 letters of assessment. Cases for promotion to Assistant Professor also require 3 letters of assessment unless those solicited for the initial appointment speak to suitability for the rank of Assistant Professor. These letters may be from current or former supervisors.

---

3 As part of its assessment, the primary department may obtain input from and/or involve UChicago faculty members from other departments, who must not vote unless eligible according to the department process. The confidentiality associated with letters of assessment extends to this input.
5. The following normally apply. Exceptions should be discussed with the Office of Academic Affairs as soon as the need for an exception is known or anticipated.

   a. Complete (except for the occasional late-arriving letter) COAP cases must be submitted electronically to the Office of Academic Affairs by noon three weeks before the scheduled COAP meeting.

   b. Current instructions and templates for preparation of cases may be downloaded: [InterfolioRPT Templates].

   c. A department that contemplates an early recommendation for promotion to associate professor with tenure in the BSD track; promotion to associate professor without tenure in the BSD track; or promotion from assistant professor directly to full professor in either track should immediately contact the Office of Academic Affairs, which will arrange for appropriate discussion.

   d. Anticipate 4-5 weeks after the COAP date for a final decision, which may come sooner or later.

   e. Proposals for promotion to Professor in the BSD track should have an effective date of 1 July, although they may be submitted and approved at any time of year.
CHANGE LOG:

2015-01-05
Item 5: Version of CV, statements, and other materials provided to external assessors is definitive and must not be altered. Addenda capturing updates, errata, etc. may be provided.
Item 7: Revised target dates for SOM track assistant professors
Item 9: Rewritten for clarity

2015-08-12
Items 12 and 13 added

2015-08-12
Item 7: language under the tables is added

2016-01-20
Item 7: now begins with information about start dates and due dates for new appointment cases. Minor modifications to due dates. Associate professor term BSD track recommendations include special materials; in requesting them, departments should disclose the reason.

2017-10-20
Item 4: seminar now required of existing faculty who are candidates for tenure.

2022-04-22
Item 2: in appointive actions in the BSD track (new appointment, reappointment, promotion, and/or tenure) ordinarily four or more tenured faculty will participate in the assessment and vote on the departmental recommendation.
Item 8: Dates and terms for Assistant professor BSD - table revised to reflect submission timing agreed to with Office of the Provost.
APPENDIX: REQUEST BY NON-TENURED FACULTY TO FOREGO THE NORMAL DEPARTMENTAL ASSESSMENT

[The top portion should be completed by the faculty member and a hard copy transmitted to the department chair, who should sign the bottom portion and transmit it to the BSD Office of Academic Affairs, which will note the decision and provide a copy of the completed form to the faculty member, Dean, and Provost.]

Dear __________________________,

[Department Chair]

As a faculty member without tenure in the Department of______________________________, I wish not to be considered for reappointment or promotion when my current appointment ends. I understand that when my appointment ends on________________________, I will not be employed by or otherwise affiliated with the University of Chicago.

Signature: __________________________

Name: __________________________

Date: __________________________

Dear __________________________,

[Faculty Member]

I acknowledge your decision and confirm that your appointment will end on ___________________. On behalf of the Department of______________________________, I want to thank you for your contributions during your time here and wish you all the best in the future.

Signature: __________________________

[Department Chair]

Date: __________________________

Noted on behalf of the Biological Sciences Division: __________________________

Date: __________________________

Cc: Dean of the Biological Sciences Division
    Office of the Provost